

## Recitation 1: Abstract Algebra Refresher

Scribe: Tomer Manket

## 1 Rings and Ideals

**Definition 1.** A *commutative ring with identity* is a set  $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$  such that

- $(R, +)$  is an abelian group.
- $(R, \cdot)$  is a commutative monoid.
- The multiplication is distributive with respect to addition, i.e.

$$a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in R.$$

**Example 2.** The ring of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ , a field  $k$ , the polynomial ring  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  over a ring  $R$ .

**Definition 3.** An *ideal* of a ring  $R$  is a subgroup  $I \leq (R, +)$  such that for every  $r \in R$  and  $a \in I$  we have  $ra \in I$ . We denote  $I \trianglelefteq R$ .

**Example 4.**  $n\mathbb{Z} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{Z}$ . A field  $k$  has only trivial ideals -  $\{0\}$  and  $k$ .

**Example 5.** Let  $k$  be a field and let  $S \subseteq k^n$ . Define

$$I(S) := \{f \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n] \mid f(p) = 0 \text{ for all } p \in S\}.$$

Then  $I(S) \trianglelefteq k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . Note that if  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$  then  $I(S_1) \supseteq I(S_2)$ .

**Definition 6.** A proper ideal  $I \triangleleft R$  is *prime* if for every  $a, b \in R$ ,

$$ab \in I \implies a \in I \text{ or } b \in I.$$

The *spectrum* of  $R$  is

$$\text{Spec}(R) := \{I \triangleleft R \mid I \text{ is prime}\}.$$

**Definition 7.** A proper ideal  $I \triangleleft R$  is *maximal* if there is no proper ideal  $J \triangleleft R$  such that  $I \subsetneq J$ . The *maximal spectrum* of  $R$  is

$$\text{MaxSpec}(R) := \{I \triangleleft R \mid I \text{ is maximal}\}.$$

**Definition 8.** An ideal  $I \trianglelefteq R$  is called *principal* if there exists  $a \in R$  such that

$$I = \langle a \rangle := Ra = \{ra \mid r \in R\}.$$

**Claim 9.**  $P \triangleleft R$  is prime  $\iff R/P$  is an integral domain.

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 P \text{ is prime} &\iff \text{for every } a, b \in R, \\
 &\quad ab \in P \implies (a \in P \vee b \in P) \\
 &\iff \text{for every } a, b \in R, \\
 &\quad \overline{ab} = 0 \implies (\overline{a} = 0 \vee \overline{b} = 0) \\
 &\iff R/P \text{ is an integral domain.}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Claim 10.**  $P \triangleleft R$  is maximal  $\iff R/P$  is a field.

*Proof.* Left as an exercise.

□

**Corollary 11.** Every maximal ideal is prime, i.e.  $\text{MaxSpec}(R) \subseteq \text{Spec}(R)$ .

**Example 12.** The ideal  $\langle x \rangle$  is maximal in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ , since  $\mathbb{R}[x]/\langle x \rangle \cong \mathbb{R}$ . More generally, if  $k$  is a field and  $a := (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n$ , then  $\mathfrak{m}_a := \langle X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n \rangle$  is a maximal ideal of  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  as

$$k[X_1, \dots, X_n] / \langle X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n \rangle \cong k.$$

This follows from the first isomorphism theorem, as the substitution homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_a: k[X_1, \dots, X_n] &\longrightarrow k \\
 f(X_1, \dots, X_n) &\longmapsto f(a_1, \dots, a_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

is surjective and  $\ker \varphi_a = \mathfrak{m}_a$ . The inclusion  $\mathfrak{m}_a \subseteq \ker \varphi_a$  clearly holds. For the opposite inclusion, suppose  $f \in \ker \varphi_a$  and consider the Taylor expansion of  $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  around  $a$ , which takes the form

$$f = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = 0}^{\deg f} b_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (X_1 - a_1)^{i_1} \cdots (X_n - a_n)^{i_n}, \quad b_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in k.$$

Then  $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0 \implies b_{0, \dots, 0} = 0 \implies f \in \mathfrak{m}_a$ . Another, more algebraic way to show it is presented in Problem Set 0. The following example shows that not all the maximal ideals of  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  are of the form  $\mathfrak{m}_a$  for  $a \in k^n$ .

**Example 13.** The ideal  $\langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$  is also maximal in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ , since  $\mathbb{R}[x]/\langle x^2 + 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{C}$ .

However, when  $k$  is algebraically closed then every maximal ideal in  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is of the form  $\langle X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n \rangle$  for some  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n$ . This follows from Hilbert's Nullstellensatz which is a famous result in commutative algebra. Thus, when  $k$  is algebraically closed we have a bijection

$$\text{MaxSpec}(k[X_1, \dots, X_n]) \xrightarrow{\cong} k^n$$

We will focus on the special cases  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$ . But first, let us recall some definitions.

**Definition 14.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $0 \neq a \in R$ . Then

- $a$  is a *unit* if there exists  $b \in R$  such that  $ab = 1$ . Denote the set of units by  $R^\times$ .
- $a$  is *prime* if  $a \notin R^\times$  and for every  $b, c \in R$ ,

$$a \mid bc \implies a \mid b \text{ or } a \mid c.$$

- $a$  is *irreducible* if  $a \notin R^\times$  and for every  $b, c \in R$ ,

$$a = bc \implies b \in R^\times \text{ or } c \in R^\times.$$

**Claim 15.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $0 \neq a \in R$ . Then

$$\langle a \rangle \text{ is prime} \iff a \text{ is prime} \implies a \text{ is irreducible.}$$

Moreover, if  $R$  is a PID, then

$$\langle a \rangle \text{ is prime} \iff a \text{ is prime} \iff a \text{ is irreducible} \iff \langle a \rangle \text{ is maximal.}$$

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field.

**Corollary 16.**  $\text{MaxSpec}(k[x]) = \{\langle x - a \rangle \mid a \in k\}$  and  $\text{Spec}(k[x]) = \text{MaxSpec}(k[x]) \cup \{0\}$ .

We proceed to the (more interesting) case of plane curves.

**Claim 17.** Let  $f \in F[x, y]$  be a non-constant polynomial and let

$$Z(f) := \{(a, b) \in \overline{F} \times \overline{F} \mid f(a, b) = 0\}.$$

Then  $Z(f)$  is infinite. In particular,  $Z(f) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Left as an exercise. □

**Claim 18.** Let  $f \in F[x, y]$  be a non-constant polynomial. Then the ideal  $\langle f \rangle$  of  $F[x, y]$  is not maximal.

*Proof.* By Claim 17 there exists a point  $(a, b) \in \overline{F} \times \overline{F}$  such that  $f(a, b) = 0$ . Let  $\overline{M} := \langle x - a, y - b \rangle \triangleleft \overline{F}[x, y]$ . Then  $\overline{M}$  is a maximal ideal of  $\overline{F}[x, y]$  (as it is the kernel of the substitution homomorphism  $\varphi_{(a,b)}: \overline{F}[x, y] \rightarrow \overline{F}$ ).

Now consider  $M := \overline{M} \cap F[x, y]$ . It is easy to check that  $M$  is a proper ideal of  $F[x, y]$ <sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>In fact,  $M$  is a maximal ideal of  $F[x, y]$ . To see this, consider the restriction of  $\varphi_{(a,b)}$  to  $F[x, y]$ . Its kernel is exactly  $M$  and its image is  $F[a, b]$ , which is an algebraic field extension of  $F$ . By the first isomorphism theorem,  $F[x, y]_M \cong F[a, b]$ .

Observe that  $f(a, b) = 0$  implies that  $f \in \ker(\varphi_{(a,b)}) = \overline{M}$ . Hence  $f \in \overline{M} \cap F[x, y] = M$  and so  $\langle f \rangle \subseteq M$ . If  $\langle f \rangle \subsetneq M$  then we are done, so assume  $\langle f \rangle = M$ . Let  $p_a \in F[x]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $a \in \overline{F}$ . Since  $(x - a) \mid p_a$  in  $\overline{F}[x]$ , we have  $p_a \in \overline{M} \cap F[x, y] = M$ . Hence  $p_a \in \langle f \rangle$ , i.e. there exists  $g \in F[x, y]$  such that  $p_a = fg$ . It follows that  $f, g \in F[x]$ , and since  $p_a$  is irreducible in  $F[x]$  and  $f$  is non-constant,  $g$  must be constant. Therefore

$$F[x, y]_{\langle f \rangle} = F[x, y]_{\langle p_a \rangle} \cong \left( F[x]_{\langle p_a \rangle} \right) [y] \cong (F[a])[y].$$

But the latter is not a field, so  $\langle f \rangle$  is not maximal in  $F[x, y]$ . □

**Remark.** In the above proof we showed that  $\langle f \rangle \subseteq M$ . As mentioned in the footnote,  $M$  is a maximal ideal of  $F[x, y]$ . Since  $\langle f \rangle$  is not maximal, we must have  $\langle f \rangle \subsetneq M$ .

**Claim 19.** *Let  $A$  be a UFD and let  $M$  be a maximal ideal in  $A[y]$ . If  $M$  is not principal, then  $M \cap A \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let us prove by contra-positive that if  $M \cap A = 0$  then  $M$  is principal. Consider the field  $L := A[y]/M$  and the natural projection  $\varphi: A[y] \rightarrow L$ . The restriction  $\varphi|_A: A \rightarrow L$  is injective, as  $\ker(\varphi|_A) = M \cap A = 0$ . Thus, if  $K = \text{Frac}(A)$ , we may extend  $\varphi$  to an injection  $\tilde{\varphi}: K[y] \rightarrow L$  via

$$\tilde{\varphi} \left( \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{a_i}{b_i} y^i \right) := \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\varphi(a_i)}{\varphi(b_i)} \varphi(y)^i.$$

The kernel of  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is a non-trivial prime ideal of  $K[y]$  that contains  $M$ . Hence  $M \subseteq \ker(\tilde{\varphi}) = \langle f(y) \rangle$  for some monic irreducible  $f \in K[y]$ . Multiplying  $f$  by an appropriate constant to clear the denominators of the coefficients, we may assume that  $f \in A[y]$  is primitive. Now, if  $g \in M$  then  $g \in \langle f \rangle$ , i.e.  $f \mid g$  in  $K[y]$ . Since  $f, g \in A[y]$  and  $f$  is primitive, it follows from Gauss' Lemma that  $f \mid g$  in  $A[y]$ . Thus,  $M \subseteq \langle f \rangle$  in  $A[y]$ . As  $M$  is maximal, we conclude that  $M = \langle f \rangle$  in  $A[y]$ . □

**Corollary 20.** *Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal in  $k[x, y]$ . Then  $M \cap k[x] \neq 0$ .*

**Proposition 21.** *Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal in  $k[x, y]$ . Then there exists a point  $(a, b) \in k^2$  such that  $M = \langle x - a, y - b \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P := M \cap k[x]$ . Note that  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $k[x]$ , and by Corollary 20 it is non-zero. By Corollary 16,  $P = \langle x - a \rangle$  for some  $a \in k$ . Hence  $x - a \in P \subseteq M$ . By symmetry there also exists  $b \in k$  such that  $y - b \in M$ . It follows that  $\langle x - a, y - b \rangle \subseteq M$ , and since both are maximal they must be equal. □

**Corollary 22.** *Let  $f \in k[x, y]$  be an irreducible polynomial and let  $C_f := k[x, y]_{\langle f \rangle}$ . Then the map*

$$\begin{aligned} I_f: Z(f) &\xrightarrow{\approx} \text{MaxSpec}(C_f) \\ (a, b) &\longmapsto I_f(a, b) := \langle \overline{x - a}, \overline{y - b} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection.

*Proof.* The map  $I_f$  is well-defined: Let  $\pi: k[x, y] \rightarrow C_f$  denote the natural quotient map. If  $(a, b) \in Z(f)$  then  $f(a, b) = 0$  so  $\langle f \rangle \subseteq \langle x - a, y - b \rangle =: M$ . Hence  $I_f(a, b) = \pi(M) \in \text{MaxSpec}(C_f)$ .

- Injectivity: Suppose  $I_f(a, b) = I_f(c, d)$ . Then  $\overline{(x - a)} - \overline{(x - c)} = \overline{c - a} \in I_f(a, b)$ . Since  $I_f(a, b) \neq k[x, y]$  we must have  $\overline{c - a} = \overline{0}$ , i.e.  $c - a \in \langle f \rangle$  which implies that  $c - a = 0$ , i.e.  $a = c$ . Similarly,  $b = d$  and therefore  $(a, b) = (c, d)$ .
- Surjectivity: Let  $\overline{M} \in \text{MaxSpec}(C_f)$ . Then  $\overline{M} = \pi(M)$  for some maximal ideal  $M$  of  $k[x, y]$  with  $\langle f \rangle \subseteq M$ . By Proposition 21 there exists  $(a, b) \in k^2$  such that  $M = \langle x - a, y - b \rangle$ . Since  $f(x, y) \in M$  we find that  $f(a, b) = 0$  so that  $(a, b) \in Z(f)$ . Hence,  $I_f(a, b) = \overline{M}$ .

□