

Algebraic Geometric Codes

Recitation 04

Shir Peleg

Tel Aviv University

March 21, 2022

Valuation of the field of rational functions

Last week we categorized (up to equivalence) all the valuations of the field of rational functions $F(x)$. We proved that

$$V = \{v_p \mid 0 \neq p \in F[x] \text{ irreducible}\} \cup \{v_\infty\}.$$

Valuation of the field of rational functions

Last week we categorized (up to equivalence) all the valuations of the field of rational functions $F(x)$. We proved that

$$V = \{v_p \mid 0 \neq p \in F[x] \text{ irreducible}\} \cup \{v_\infty\}.$$

We now want to study the valuations over F of an algebraic extension $E/F(x)$. This is the main goal of the course, we will start with the following theorem.

Valuation of the field of rational functions

Last week we categorized (up to equivalence) all the valuations of the field of rational functions $F(x)$. We proved that

$$V = \{v_p \mid 0 \neq p \in F[x] \text{ irreducible}\} \cup \{v_\infty\}.$$

We now want to study the valuations over F of an algebraic extension $E/F(x)$. This is the main goal of the course, we will start with the following theorem.

Theorem 1

Let $E/F(x)$ be an algebraic extension, and let $\varphi : F(x) \rightarrow L \cup \{\infty\}$ be a place, where L is algebraically closed. Then there is a place $\tilde{\varphi} : E \rightarrow L \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}|_{F(x)} = \varphi$.

Valuation of the field of rational functions

Last week we categorized (up to equivalence) all the valuations of the field of rational functions $F(x)$. We proved that

$$V = \{v_p \mid 0 \neq p \in F[x] \text{ irreducible}\} \cup \{v_\infty\}.$$

We now want to study the valuations over F of an algebraic extension $E/F(x)$. This is the main goal of the course, we will start with the following theorem.

Theorem 1

Let $E/F(x)$ be an algebraic extension, and let $\varphi : F(x) \rightarrow L \cup \{\infty\}$ be a place, where L is algebraically closed. Then there is a place $\tilde{\varphi} : E \rightarrow L \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}|_{F(x)} = \varphi$.

Note that the case that $\varphi = 0$ is trivial, so from now we assume $\varphi \neq 0$.

Step one – Finding the Ring

As $\varphi : F(x) \rightarrow L \cup \{\infty\}$ is a place, it induces an homomorphism $\varphi_0 : R_0 \rightarrow L$ for a valuation ring R_0 .

Step one – Finding the Ring

As $\varphi : F(x) \rightarrow L \cup \{\infty\}$ is a place, it induces an homomorphism $\varphi_0 : R_0 \rightarrow L$ for a valuation ring R_0 .

Consider all the tuples

$$\{(R', \varphi') \mid R_0 \subseteq R' \text{ a subring of } E, \varphi' : R' \rightarrow L, \varphi'|_{R_0} = \varphi_0\}.$$

This set is not empty as it contains (R_0, φ_0) . We can define an order on the tuples $(R'', \varphi'') \leq (R', \varphi')$ if $R'' \subseteq R'$ and $\varphi'|_{R''} = \varphi''$.

Step one – Finding the Ring

As $\varphi : F(x) \rightarrow L \cup \{\infty\}$ is a place, it induces an homomorphism $\varphi_0 : R_0 \rightarrow L$ for a valuation ring R_0 .

Consider all the tuples

$$\{(R', \varphi') \mid R_0 \subseteq R' \text{ a subring of } E, \varphi' : R' \rightarrow L, \varphi' |_{R_0} = \varphi_0\}.$$

This set is not empty as it contains (R_0, φ_0) . We can define an order on the tuples $(R'', \varphi'') \leq (R', \varphi')$ if $R'' \subseteq R'$ and $\varphi''|_{R''} = \varphi''$.

If $\{(R_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in I}$ is a chain, then $(\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} R_\alpha, \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \varphi_\alpha)$ is an upper bound on the chain. Thus, by Zorn's lemma there is a maximal element in the set, denote it by (R, φ') .

Step two – define the place

We want to prove that R is a valuation ring, and therefore we can extend $\tilde{\varphi} : E \rightarrow L \cup \{\infty\}$ by

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi'(x) & x \in R \\ \infty & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

One can easily verify that if R is a valuation ring then $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a place, and $\tilde{\varphi}|_{F(x)} = \varphi$.

Step three – R is a valuation ring.

We will prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2

R has a unique maximal ideal $P = \ker(\varphi')$.

Proof.

As $\text{Im}(\varphi')$ is contained in a field it follows that P is prime. As for the uniqueness and maximality, denote $R' = \{ \frac{r}{s} \mid s \notin P \}$.

It holds that (a) $R \subseteq R'$. φ' can be extended to a morphism $\varphi'' : R' \rightarrow L$, and thus, as (R, φ') is maximal, it holds that $R = R'$. Therefore, if $s \in R \setminus P$ then $s^{-1} \in R$, thus $R \setminus P \subseteq R^\times$ and the uniqueness follows. \square

Denote that $K := \varphi'(R) \cong R/P$. $K \subseteq L$ is a subfield.

Step three – R is a valuation ring.

Lemma 3 (Chevalley's lemma)

φ' can be extended to a least one of the rings $R[z]$ or $R[z^{-1}]$.

Proof.

Extend φ' to a morphism $\psi : R[x] \rightarrow K[x]$ as follows: $\psi(\sum a_i x^i) = \sum \varphi'(a_i) x^i$. We want to use ψ , together with the substitution $\lambda : x \rightarrow z$ to extend φ' to $K[\alpha]$ for some $\alpha \in L$. Consider $I = \{f \in R[x] \mid f(z) = 0\}$ an ideal in $R[x]$. It holds that $\lambda(I) = 0$, thus we need to find α such that $g(\alpha) = 0$ for $g \in \psi(I)$. If we can find such α we are done. Note that as φ' is onto K , then ψ is onto $K[x]$ and thus $\psi(I)$ is an ideal of $K[x]$. What are the possible cases:



Step three – R is a valuation ring.

Proof cont.

- $\psi(I) = 0$, then we can choose any $\alpha \in L$ and set $z \rightarrow \alpha$, to extend φ' .
- $\psi(I) = \langle 1f \rangle \subsetneq K[x]$. Then as L is algebraically closed we can choose α to be a root of f .
- Define $I' = \{f \in R[x] \mid f(z^{-1}) = 0\}$, then if one of the previous items holds for $\psi(I')$ we can extend $\varphi' : R[z^{-1}] \rightarrow L$.
- $\psi(I) = \psi(I') = K[x]$. Then we can not find such α . In this case there are f, g such that $f(z) = 0, \psi(f) = 1$ and $g(z^{-1}) = 0, \psi(g) = 1$. Assume both f, g have minimal degree. It holds that $\deg(f), \deg(g) \geq 1$. Assume w.l.o.g $n := \deg(f) \geq \deg(g) =: m \geq 1$. Consider the polynomial $g_0(z) = x^m g(x^{-1}), g_0(z) = z^m g(z^{-1}) = 0, \psi(g_0) = x^m$. Divide f in g_0 to obtain $f = g_0 q + r$ with $\deg(r) < m \leq n$.

Step three – R is a valuation ring.

Proof cont.

$$0 = f(z) = g_0(z)q(z) + r(z) = 0 + r(z) \Rightarrow r(z) = 0.$$

$1 = \psi(f) = \psi(g_0)\psi(q) + \psi(r)$ which implies $\psi(q) = 0$ and therefore $\psi(r) = 1$, and this is a contradiction to the minimality of f .

Thus, as (R, φ') are maximal, it follows that for every $z \in E^\times$ either $R[z] = R$ or $R[z^{-1}] = R$ and thus R is a valuation ring and the theorem holds.

Valuations

Corollary 4

Let $E/F(x)$ be an algebraic extension, and let $v : F(x) \rightarrow Z \cup \{\infty\}$ be a valuation. Then there is a place $\tilde{v} : E \rightarrow Z \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\tilde{v} |_{F(x)}$ is equivalent to v .

Proof.

Let L be a field and let $\varphi : F(x) \rightarrow L \cup \{\infty\} \subseteq \bar{L} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the place corresponding to v . Let $R = \mathcal{O}_\varphi$ be φ 's valuation ring. Thus we can use Theorem 1 to construct $\tilde{\varphi} : E \rightarrow \bar{L} \cup \{\infty\}$. $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a place and so there is a corresponding valuation \tilde{v} . It is easy to verify that $\tilde{v} |_{F(x)}$ is a valuation and is indeed equivalent to v . □

Corollary 5

Let E/F be a field extension and let $x \in E$ of transcendental degree 1. Let $x \in E$ be a transcendental element. Then there is valuations v, v' of E over F with $v(x) > 0, v'(x) < 0$.

Proof.

Follows by applying Corollary 4 with v_x, v_∞ of $F(x)$. □